

-



Lindus Health Brand Messaging and Copywriting Guide

Drafted by Victoria Frankel
January 2026

Purpose of This Guide

This document provides anyone creating branded marketing or editorial content for Lindus Health with clear, consistent brand and style guidelines for writing about the company and products. That includes grammatical style, brand style, voice, and tone. This document also includes the outline and strategy for our entire approach to content, from how to use our company name to how we write blog content.

The point of this document is to ensure that we present a consistent and high standard of writing across all channels, which will enhance our credibility, brand image, and overall understanding of all brand-related issues. Language must reflect operational truth.

Brand Overview

Lindus Health represents the next evolution of CROs, focused on improving the efficiency and accountability of clinical research through integrated technology systems, human-centered patient recruitment, and a more controlled, lower-risk clinical trial process for sponsors. We define this by becoming the first **Accountable Research Organization (ARO)** committed to bringing predictability and control to clinical development. We believe that delays, budget overruns, and data quality issues are not unavoidable realities of clinical research, but the downstream effects of fragmented systems, disconnected teams, and incentives that reward effort rather than outcomes. Lindus addresses these challenges by integrating technology, clinical operations, and performance-based accountability into a single operating model. This approach creates shared visibility across trials, enables earlier intervention when risks emerge, and keeps timelines, budgets, and evidence generation aligned with sponsor goals. We believe clinical execution can and should be easier to manage, easier to trust, and better suited to the real-world demands of modern drug, device, and diagnostic development. Furthermore, we believe there is untapped potential in how the industry operates today. *Lindus was founded with a mission to unleash biology's century through faster, more predictable clinical trials.* . We envision a better way that overcomes the persistent operational gaps and participant-experience challenges that continue to negatively impact how clinical research is executed, *and* we intend to build the path to lift up the industry as a whole.

Reader's Note

Throughout this guide, language is treated as a reflection of how Lindus operates, not as a marketing device. We prioritize precision and accuracy over ambition or abstraction, and we choose words that reflect structure, accountability, and control. Lindus avoids exaggerated claims, undefined superlatives, and technology-forward language that emphasizes novelty over outcomes. When we describe systems, technology, or processes, we focus on what they

enable, what risks they reduce, and how they support better decision-making for sponsors and clinical teams. The goal is not to sound disruptive or aspirational, but to communicate in a way that feels grounded, credible, and aligned with the real operational realities of clinical research.

How Lindus Writes

Lindus Health communicates with precision, optimism, and intent. Writing should feel informed and deliberate, but not performative or overly polished. Sentences are structured to explain relationships between ideas, particularly how operational choices lead to downstream outcomes. We favor complete thoughts over fragments and use connective language to guide the reader through complex topics without oversimplifying them.

Lindus writing follows standard American English conventions. Em dashes are not used. Parenthetical statements should be limited and only used when they add necessary clarification. Headings and subheadings should be descriptive rather than clever, signaling what the reader will learn rather than attempting to persuade. Intensifiers, and vague qualifiers should be used sparingly and only when they are clearly defined. Terms such as “best-in-class,” “leading,” “transformative,” “next-generation,” or “disruptive” are avoided unless they can be supported with evidence or context. When describing improvements, we explain what has changed, how it changed, and what impact that change has on execution, outcomes, and/or patients.

Writing should favor a steady, explanatory cadence rather than short, declarative bursts. Complex ideas may require longer sentences, but they should remain readable and grounded. Lists are used to organize information, not to replace narrative logic. Transitions between paragraphs should make clear how one idea builds on the next.

Terminology and Consistency

Key terms such as predictability, accountability, integration, and real-time visibility are used consistently throughout Lindus communications. If a term has a specific meaning within the Lindus operating model, it should be used deliberately and explained when first introduced. Synonyms should not be introduced simply for variation if they dilute meaning or create ambiguity.

Research Guidelines

Lindus Health prioritizes the use of current, relevant research to ensure that all content reflects the realities of modern clinical development. As a general standard, sources published within the past five years should be used whenever possible. Research older than five years

may be included when it remains foundational or widely accepted, but no sources older than twenty years should be cited under any circumstances.

This guideline applies equally to clinical research, regulatory references, market analysis, and technology trends. Given the pace of change in clinical operations, data infrastructure, and evidence generation, Lindus content should reflect the most up-to-date thinking available. When discussing market dynamics or emerging technologies, writers should prioritize recent analyses and, where appropriate, incorporate forward-looking perspectives that acknowledge where the field is headed rather than relying solely on historical precedent.

These standards exist to ensure that Lindus communications remain accurate, credible, and future-aware, reinforcing the company's position as an organization that operates at the leading edge of clinical research practice rather than reflecting outdated models or assumptions.

Note: When necessary, be transparent about limitations, even if it is making a point you want to make. Acknowledge small sample sizes, or homogeneity in the population (i.e., all men with diabetes). Likewise, if there is conflicting research, acknowledge as much (and also acknowledge if the bulk of the research leans one way or the other).

Overall Citation Style

Lindus Health uses **AMA citation style** as the sole standard for referencing external data, research, benchmarks, or regulatory guidance.

When citations are included, they must follow AMA conventions, including superscript numerals in the text and a reference list at the end of the document. Sources should be primary whenever possible, such as peer-reviewed literature, regulatory agencies, and reputable industry research organizations. Secondary summaries or low-credibility sources should be avoided.

Citations are required when:

- Referencing statistics, benchmarks, or industry-wide claims
- Citing clinical, regulatory, or scientific findings
- Comparing performance, timelines, or outcomes to external standards

If no external sources are referenced, a citations section is not required. Culture, values, and people-focused content may not inherently require citations, provided no external data or research claims are made.

This standard exists to protect credibility and ensure consistency across all Lindus communications. Citation use should feel intentional and disciplined, not academic for its own sake.

Example Reference List (AMA Style)

“Delays in clinical development increase program costs, extend timelines, and introduce downstream operational risk that compounds as studies scale.¹”

The corresponding reference would appear in the citation list as:

1. Getz KA, Campo RA. Trial delays and patient enrollment challenges in clinical research. *Ther Innov Regul Sci*. 2018;52(2):219–227.

Blog and Site Guidelines

Writing Perspective

When writing general website copy or social posts, content should be written **as Lindus Health**, not as an individual author. Blog articles may introduce specific author-created or founder-generated content with the intention of bringing in diverse clinical or commercial perspectives. However, the overall voice should combine institutional, informed, and confident values without being overly impersonal.

Blog content should address the reader directly when appropriate, particularly in educational or explanatory contexts. Direct address should feel natural and professional, helping guide the reader through complex topics rather than attempting to persuade or market.

Examples of appropriate direct address include:

- “When designing a Phase IV study, sponsors often focus first on enrollment timelines rather than downstream data quality.”
- “As clinical programs scale, small inefficiencies in trial operations can quickly compound into meaningful delays.”
- “Patients can experience significant burden when navigating clinical trials alongside ongoing medical treatment.”

The goal is to engage the reader as a knowledgeable professional, not to simplify concepts unnecessarily or adopt a consumer-facing tone.

Core Audience Structure

Sponsor Audience Persona

Who they are

Sponsors include leaders and teams across biotech, pharma, and medical device organizations who are accountable for clinical outcomes, timelines, budgets, and downstream business impact. They are highly experienced and operating under internal pressure from executives, boards, and investors.

What they care about most

Sponsors are less concerned with tools, platforms, or promises, and more concerned with ownership and early visibility into risk. They want confidence that when plans change, someone is actively managing the situation rather than reporting on it after the fact. They value partners who understand their real-world constraints and organizational complexity.

What they are skeptical of

Sponsors are wary of certainty language, generic CRO claims, and assurances that everything will go smoothly. They have seen strong science undermined by weak execution and are conditioned to distrust optimism that is not grounded in structure. Radicalizing change (i.e. the Lindus approach) can alienate them as they're looking for risk dilution, not redefining the wheel.

How Lindus should speak to them

Lindus should communicate with calm confidence, operational specificity, and honesty about uncertainty. Messaging should emphasize accountability, systems that reduce surprise, and the ability to respond effectively when execution deviates from plan. Language should feel peer-level, not persuasive, and assume intelligence and earned skepticism. Respect is earned by transparency and shared systems, not by being a self-proclaimed industry disruptor. Sponsors crave steady execution and accountability that work within existing regulatory and operational constraints.

Clinical Partner and Site Persona

Who they are

Clinical partners include site investigators, coordinators, and operational teams responsible for executing protocols, managing patients, and maintaining data quality under real-world conditions. They balance clinical care, administrative burden, and trial requirements, often with limited resources.

What they care about most

Clinical partners value clear communication, feasibility, and respect for how work actually happens at the site level. They want processes that are realistic and timely, and systems that

reduce friction rather than add complexity. Above all, they want to feel supported rather than managed.

What they are skeptical of

Clinical partners are cautious of overly complex protocols, shifting expectations, and centralized decisions that ignore site realities. They are also wary of technology or processes that increase administrative burden without a clear benefit.

How Lindus should speak to them

Lindus should communicate with practicality, transparency, and respect. Language should acknowledge site constraints, avoid unnecessary jargon, and focus on how systems and processes make execution easier, not harder. The tone should be professional and collaborative, reinforcing that Lindus understands the operational and human demands placed on clinical teams.

Audience Tone Shifts Cases

At times, Lindus will develop patient-facing content that benefits from a more human-centered tone. In these cases, language should reduce feelings of distance or confusion and support clearer, more empathetic communication between patients and clinical teams. Messaging must be accurate yet approachable, and accurately reflect the operational realities and pain points experienced at clinical sites.

Patient-centric Tone:

- “For many patients, participating in a clinical trial can mean navigating even more complexity to an already demanding treatment journey.”

Patient-First Language vs. Insensitive Language

Whenever possible, separate the patient from the condition: i.e., "patient with diabetes" rather than "diabetic;" "patient with obesity" rather than "obese patients."

Exception: when you're quoting a person or a study or the construction would be less clear.

Addressing Industry Challenges and Sensitive Topics

Lindus Health does not avoid difficult conversations about the clinical research industry. Part of the Lindus brand is a willingness to speak honestly about structural shortcomings in traditional CRO models, including misaligned incentives, fragmented systems, and the downstream impact these issues have on sponsors, clinical teams, and patients. These

discussions may, at times, challenge prevailing industry narratives and can be perceived as controversial.

When addressing industry challenges, Lindus content should focus on systems and outcomes rather than assigning blame to specific organizations or competitors. The intent is to elevate the conversation, not to simplify complex problems or create adversarial comparisons. Language should remain measured, accurate, and solutions-oriented and continue to emphasize how different operating models can reduce risk and improve predictability.

Any content that takes a critical or forward-leaning position on industry practices should be reviewed with leadership to ensure it aligns with Lindus' broader brand principles and messaging framework. This step helps maintain a consistent point of view across the organization and ensures that challenging perspectives reinforce the collective intent of the company rather than reflecting individual opinion.

Specific Terms and Style

All acronyms should be spelled out at first reference, followed by the acronym in parentheses if it will be used again. After the initial reference, the acronym may be used consistently throughout the remainder of the content. Acronyms should not be introduced unnecessarily. If a term is used only once, spell it out rather than introducing an acronym.

Example: American Diabetes Association (ADA). Subsequent references may use ADA.

Lindus uses title case for headlines and subheadings. Headings should be descriptive and precise, signaling the subject of the section rather than using rhetorical or promotional phrasing.

Example: Designing Phase IV Studies for Real-World Evidence Generation

Do not use periods in academic or professional degrees, including PhD, MD, and MBA. An exception may be made when a degree requires periods for clarity or convention, such as L.Ac.

Use "Dr." only for medical doctors. For individuals with a PhD or other doctoral degree, list the degree after the name rather than using the title "Dr." unless there is a specific clinical context that requires it.

Spell out numbers from zero through nine. Use numerals for numbers 10 and above. Do not begin sentences with numerals, including percentages. If a sentence would otherwise begin with a number, rewrite the sentence.

Fractions should be written out in words, such as one-third or two-thirds, unless numerical notation is required for technical accuracy.

As a general rule, Lindus content uses the present tense, particularly when discussing research findings, industry trends, or expert perspectives.

Examples include:

- “The study finds...” rather than “The study found...”
- “The analysis shows...” rather than “The analysis showed...”

Past tense may be used when necessary for clarity, such as when referring to completed studies or historical events. When past tense is used, it should be applied consistently within the piece. Avoid mixing present and past tense without clear reason.

Our Voice

Our voice is our direct and distinct expression of our personality and brand values, as expressed through everything from website copy to ads to interviews to customer service. It’s the embodiment of our brand *as a character* through the words we use and the content we produce.

We’re writing for a savvy and technical audience, so we need to remain communicative in a way that reflects the sponsor’s expertise. This reduces the need to repeat or reintroduce core topics in content as the readership is well-educated with industry concepts and themes.

While some copywriting can take on a tongue-in-cheek tone, the majority of communications, such as blogs and white papers, are educational. Be aware of oversimplification, overgeneralization, or excessive humor and irony.

Avoid absolutes. There is no "ideal" solution, no "perfect" strategy, and no "best way" to conduct a study. We believe deeply in meeting individual clients based on their individual needs, avoiding a one-size-fits-all approach to partnership

Voice Attributes

Aspirational, Grounded in Reality

The Lindus voice is aspirational in how clinical research can function when execution is designed intentionally but grounded in the practical realities sponsors face. We speak to progress and improvement without implying idealized outcomes or removing necessary complexity.

Translational Across Disciplines

Lindus communicates across clinical, operational, regulatory, and commercial contexts, helping sponsors understand how decisions in one area influence execution and outcomes in another. The voice connects systems, processes, and constraints into a coherent picture rather than isolating functions.

Accountability-Oriented

Lindus emphasizes ownership and responsibility in how work is planned, executed, and evaluated. Language makes clear what Lindus is accountable for and what it enables for sponsors and partners. Accountability is reinforced through structure rather than informal coordination.

Confident Without Arrogance

The Lindus voice is confident in approach and experience without positioning itself as infallible or superior. We explain how our model works and where it adds value, without dismissing other models or oversimplifying tradeoffs. We provide proof of impact with the intent to uplift all.

Honest About Industry Constraints

Lindus speaks candidly about the structural limitations of clinical research, including where traditional CRO models struggle to adapt to modern development needs. This honesty avoids blame or comparison and instead focuses on understanding constraints and addressing them through better design and alignment. By conceptualizing the potential of the industry as a whole to change, Lindus is positioning itself as the formal solution to how all other CROs can help break from the institution and function collectively better.

Uncertainty-Reducing, Not Outcome-Assuring

Lindus addresses what sponsors most often lack in CRO relationships: meaningful reduction in uncertainty without overemphasizing the absolution of predictability. Sponsors do not expect guarantees, but are in dire need of clearer ownership, earlier visibility into risk, and fewer surprises over the life of a study.

The Lindus voice consistently reinforces how accountability, integrated systems, and efficiency-focused technology help operate more effectively within sponsor constraints. Language should reflect progress toward *greater* predictability without implying certainty, assurance, or control beyond what clinical research allows.

Human-Aware and Respectful

While sponsor-focused, the Lindus voice acknowledges the human dimensions of clinical research, including the experiences of patients, site teams, and internal stakeholders. This awareness is expressed with professionalism and restraint to support trust without excessive emotional appeal.

Forward-Thinking

We're pushing the status-quo of what's possible when it comes to what the CRO industry is capable of with new technologies. We're not joining the current movement, we're creating one. When it comes to clinical operations and bringing better therapeutics to market, we embody our tagline: **Accountability is pivotal to progress.** We challenge sponsors to embrace a different way of running trials. We inspire our community to expect more from the CRO system and we lead by example.

Our Tone

Our tone is *how* we speak. It's less about the personality of a brand and more about our approach and attitude. It's how we craft our communication and change our approach depending on the audience or platform.

What We Are

- Credible
- Approachable
- Relevant
- Specific

What We're Not

- Pandering
- Fear-mongering
- Critical
- Monotonous
- Dry and stiff
- Blame shifting

Table 1. Balancing Tone and Voice Examples

Overly Technical or Robotic	Dogmatic or Adversarial	Clear, Relatable, and Credible	Over-Simplified	Condescending or Presumptive
<p>“Decentralized trial architectures enable optimization of participant throughput and protocol adherence via multi-modal data capture pipelines.”</p>	<p>“Traditional CROs are fundamentally broken and incapable of supporting modern clinical development.”</p>	<p>“As trials become more complex, fragmented systems make it harder to maintain visibility across enrollment, data capture, and execution.”</p>	<p>“Clinical trials are simple if you use the right platform.”</p>	<p>“Sponsors struggle with trials because they do not understand how clinical operations work.”</p>
<p>“Integrated EDC, ePRO, and CTMS environments reduce variance in operational execution.”</p>	<p>“Most CROs still rely on outdated models that slow trials down.”</p>	<p>“Data, operations, and communication are often disconnected making small issues escalate before teams have time to intervene.”</p>	<p>“Data problems happen because teams are not organized.”</p>	<p>“Clinical teams often overlook obvious inefficiencies that Lindus can easily fix.”</p>
<p>“Patients experience protocol fatigue due to cumulative procedural burden across longitudinal study participation.”</p>	<p>“Sponsors focus too much on speed and not enough on quality.”</p>	<p>“For many patients, participating in a trial adds coordination and effort alongside existing care, which must be considered during study design.”</p>	<p>“Patients drop out because trials are inconvenient.”</p>	<p>“Patients struggle to comply because instructions are confusing.”</p>
<p>“Legacy CRO operating models lack the technical infrastructure required to support modern, distributed trial execution at scale.”</p>	<p>“Traditional CROs are the reason clinical trials are slow, expensive, and unreliable.”</p>	<p>“Many CRO models were designed for a different era of clinical research and can struggle to adapt with AI technology as trials become more complex and data-driven.”</p>	<p>“CROs just need better technology.”</p>	<p>“Other CROs fail because they refuse to change.”</p>

“Industry-wide inefficiencies are driven by misaligned operational frameworks and insufficient systems integration.”	“Most CROs prioritize billable hours over sponsor outcomes.”	“The CRO industry has evolved over time, but structural incentives and fragmented systems can still make accountability and visibility difficult for sponsors.”	“CROs are inefficient by nature.”	“Sponsors choose the wrong CROs because they don’t know better.”
--	--	---	-----------------------------------	--

General Messaging and Positioning

We are not radicalists. We are clinical revolutionaries who believe in building systems that move life-changing therapeutics through necessary regulatory rigor more efficiently to reach patients *faster*.

We are committed to addressing the bottlenecks that slow clinical trials by combining AI-enabled infrastructure, efficient operating systems, and clear ownership across execution, from protocol design through patient recruitment.

We support sponsors and clinical teams with a unique trial operating system (**Citrus™**) that improves control and accountability.

But we uphold a commitment to conducting clinical trials in a way that empowers study participants using a real-world, human-centric approach that meets patients where they are.

We are not a Contract Research Organization (CRO).

We are an **Accountable Research Organization (ARO)**.

An Accountable Research Organization is one that takes responsibility for how clinical research is planned, executed, and managed when reality intervenes. Rather than focusing solely on delivering services or reporting progress after the fact, an Accountable Research Organization is designed to actively manage risk, surface issues early, and own the response when execution deviates from plan using a purpose-built systems structure that combines an integrated framework for planning, executing, and managing clinical trials with clear ownership and shared visibility across the study lifecycle. It is designed to support accountability in execution by connecting data, workflows, and decision-making rather than treating them as separate functions.

Services Messaging

Lindus is more than a Full-Service CRO. We have incorporated a comprehensive AI-integration into all our offerings connecting our Full-Stack eClinical Operating System (Citrus™), Site Model, and Central Patient Recruitment. This provides real-time visibility across all systems for sponsors to ensure teams make smarter, faster decisions.

Citrus™

Lindus uses our proprietary operating system, **Citrus™**, engineered to reduce the most common root causes of clinical trial milestone delays and budget overruns. This is how we manage clinical trials to deliver regulatory-grade clinical and real-world evidence, on-time and on-budget.

Citrus™ brings together the operational components of a trial, including protocol execution, patient recruitment, data flow, and communication between sponsors, clinical teams, and sites, into a single coordinated system (*EDC, eSource, CTMS, ePRO/eCOA, eConsent, Prescreening, TMF*). Its purpose is not to replace clinical judgment or sponsor oversight, but to reduce fragmentation and surface issues earlier so they can be addressed deliberately.

Citrus™ is not positioned as:

- A standalone software product
- A dashboard for retrospective reporting
- A replacement for sponsor decision-making
- A guarantee of outcomes or timelines

Citrus™ is an operational backbone that allows Lindus (and sponsors) access, control, and efficiency across the entire comprehensive clinical trial process.

Citrus™ should be positioned as:

- Infrastructure, not a product
- The key visibility tool to gain real-time insight into the status of a trial.
- Coordination over features, i.e. connects data, workflows, and accountability.

Site Model

The Lindus Site Model is designed to support feasibility and execution under real-world conditions by extending access while preserving operational ownership. Rather than relying on a single site archetype, Lindus combines preferred physical sites, a National Virtual Site,

and hybrid models to meet patients where care actually occurs, without losing consistency or oversight.

Definitions

Preferred Physical Sites: the Lindus network of clinical site partners that have demonstrated the ability to execute reliably across enrollment, data quality, and operational responsiveness. These sites provide consistency and experience, particularly for studies that require in-person procedures, specialized equipment, or frequent monitoring.

National Virtual Site: an extension of trial access beyond traditional site footprints by enabling remote and hybrid participation where appropriate. This model is used to reach patients who may not be located near a physical site or who face logistical barriers to participation. The virtual site is not positioned as a replacement for physical sites, but as an extension that increases reach while maintaining centralized oversight and coordination.

Hybrid Model: a combination of in-person and remote activity. Hybrid models allow Lindus to adapt execution to protocol requirements and patient needs while maintaining consistency across workflows, data capture, and communication.

Site Augmentation: when site staffing, experience, or bandwidth becomes a limiting factor, Lindus augments sites with additional operational support. Lindus-embedded PIs and CRCs are also used to reinforce execution when site capacity, staffing, or experience become constraints. This approach allows Lindus to maintain continuity across study startup and delivery, reduce variability in execution, and support sites without shifting burden or responsibility away from the operating team.

The Lindus Site Model is not positioned as:

- Speed claims of faster enrollment without context
- A purely virtual-first offering.
- A substitution for sponsor oversight

The Lindus Site Model is positioned as:

- Complete trial feasibility, reach, and operational control.
- A solution to site burden and constraints.
- A practical extension of hybrid and virtual models.
- Powered by the Lindus staff for full accountability.

Central Patient Recruitment

Lindus approaches patient recruitment and enrollment by coordinating identification, outreach, screening, and engagement in a way that aligns with protocol requirements and site capacity. Rather than treating recruitment as a standalone function, Lindus integrates

recruitment directly into study execution, ensuring that patient flow is realistic, controlled, and responsive to how trials actually run.

Recruitment efforts are informed by real-world data sources, including EMR and lab data, and are tailored to the specific needs of each study. This allows Lindus to identify appropriate patient populations earlier and manage eligibility more effectively. For sponsors, central recruitment provides more controlled and predictable enrollment dynamics without shifting burden to sites.

Central recruitment at Lindus is not a single channel or tactic. Instead, it functions as a coordinated system that identifies potential participants through EMR, lab data, and primary care access. Using targeted digital outreach and patient advocacy group engagement, Lindus is able to connect and screen qualified patients centrally before handoff or adjust recruitment activity as conditions change.

Central Patient Recruitment is not positioned as:

- Enrollment speed as a standalone claim
- A salesy, marketing or advertising-centric endeavor
- A guaranteed, predictable outcome about patient behavior
- A resolution that minimizes protocol complexity or site workload

Central Patient Recruitment is positioned as:

- Coordination, fit, and execution readiness rather than speed.
- A recruitment framework that functions as an integrated system, not a marketing campaign.
- A patient-centric system that respects participants navigating real constraints, not as abstract metrics.
- A functional alignment with sites, protocol requirements, and operational feasibility.

Central recruitment is a lever for *execution control*, not a growth metric. It should always be described in the context of how it stabilizes enrollment, reduces downstream disruption, and supports accountable trial delivery.

Language Red Flags in Lindus Messaging

Avoid the following language red flags when producing branded content. Rather than building trust, it raises skepticism and invites scrutiny.

Table 2. Red Flag Language Cases

Certainty or Guarantee Language	Technology-as-Hero Language	Adversarial CRO Comparisons
Guaranteed	AI-powered trials	Traditional CROs are broken
Assured outcomes	Platform-first	Unlike other CROs
Predictable results	Advanced dashboards	Most CROs fail to
Eliminates risk	Cutting-edge tools	Legacy CROs cannot

These phrases are unhelpful in differentiating from standard CRO marketing and provide no information about how Lindus actually operates. Sponsors have learned to discount similar generalizations immediately and require additional efforts to define or validate these terms.